

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,618	12/31/2003	Kenichi Kazama	KON-1712A (Div)	8941	
20311 LUCAS & ME	7590 03/04/200 RCANTI, LLP	EXAMINER			
475 PARK AV	ENUE SOUTH	HUSON, MONICA ANNE			
15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/04/2009	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/750,618	KAZAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Monica A. Huson	1791		

	Monica A. Huson	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued to five 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sest for thin (b) above, if checked, Any pely received by the Office are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NO) v);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	·····,		
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	
7. \( \bigcirc \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \bigcirc \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \( \frac{22-25}{22.5}. \) Claim(s) objected to: \( \bigcirc \) Claim(s) rejected: \( \frac{17-21}{12.6} \) Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \( \bigcirc \).		I be entered and an e	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Monica A Huson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1791	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to claims 17-21, applicant contends that Michihata does not suggest the claimed peel tension range, and applicant also contends that the declaration field 24 September 2007 shows unexpected results. This is not presuasive because it is believed that Michihata discloses a broad range of peel tensions, and through general experimentation, one of ordinary skill in the art would arrive at the claimed range. With respect to the declaration, applicant has explained in much detail the results of the experiment, but applicant has not responded to the examiner sition that the data shows clear trends and relationships between the variables and, therefore, expected values and results, which are not grounds for patentable subject matter. The examiner maintains that there is nothing new or unexpected about the trends that were exhibited in the declaration, and that Michihata would therefore suggest the subject matter in claims 17-21.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-25 are persuasive, and therefore the rejection has been withdrawn.